1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	QUINDALE POWELL,	No. 2:16-cv-2346 GGH P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	ERIC ARNOLD, et al.,	
15	Respondents.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas	
18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
19	The application attacks a conviction issued by the San Mateo County Superior Court.	
20	While both this court and the United States District Court in the district where petitioner was	
21	convicted have jurisdiction, see Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S. 484 (1973), any	
22	and all witnesses and evidence necessary for the resolution of petitioner's application are more	
23	readily available in San Mateo County. <u>Id.</u> at 499 n.15; 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).	
24	Accordingly, in the furtherance of justice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
25	1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis; and	
26	/////	
27	/////	
28	////	1
		1

2. This matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. Dated: October 5, 2016 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE /md; powe2346.108